

INDIAN TROUBLES.—It appears that the Indians in the vicinity of Redwood and Mad River, are determined to deal to the hilt with everything in their reach. Next morning after the murder of Mr. Boynton they attacked Pardee's ranch. The dogs held them at bay till Mr. Barney, one of the partners, and Mr. Pardee went out, when they were fired upon by the Indians, and miraculously escaped with their lives. When night set in the family started for town, and arrived in safety about 3 o'clock, on Thursday morning. The Indians had driven off all their stock, leaving them but one horse with which to remove the family.

The ranch has no doubt been totally destroyed before this, as it was left at their mercy.

They killed a cow at Angel's ranch on Wednesday, in sight of the house, and carried it off.

THE HUMBOLDT TIMES.

EUREKA, CALIFORNIA, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1858.

NO. 4

HUMBOLDT TIMES.
A WEEKLY PAPER, PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY MORNING, BY J. S. HARRIS, at the office of the Times, No. 12, Second Street, Eureka, California.

TERMS:—For one year, \$5; for six months, \$3; for three months, \$1.50; for one month, \$0.50. Single copies, 10 cents. In advance. All communications should be addressed to the Editor, at the office of the Times, No. 12, Second Street, Eureka, California.

Harry's Horse-Taming "Secret" Disclosed
by J. S. Harris.
A little work, purporting to be a reprint from an American editor, entitled "The Taming of Horses," by J. S. Harris, has just been published in London. It is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris. The work is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris. The work is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris.

Not a reader, can you, or any one else, give me a single line, to let me know how you get on in the world?
I have not heard from you since I left you, and I am very anxious to hear from you. I have not heard from you since I left you, and I am very anxious to hear from you. I have not heard from you since I left you, and I am very anxious to hear from you.

When you take these horses, you must be commenced in some way to give him an idea of what you want him to do, and then he will do it perfectly.
To make a horse do a thing, you must first get him to understand what you want him to do. You must first get him to understand what you want him to do. You must first get him to understand what you want him to do.

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

English Grammar.
The plural of ox is oxen, not oaks, not bees. The plural of man is men, not mens. The plural of woman is women, not wemens. The plural of child is children, not childers. The plural of foot is feet, not foets. The plural of tooth is teeth, not teets. The plural of goose is geese, not gooses. The plural of sheep is sheep, not sheeps. The plural of deer is deer, not deers. The plural of pig is pigs, not piggies. The plural of hen is hens, not hennies. The plural of cow is cows, not cowies. The plural of horse is horses, not horsees. The plural of man is men, not mens. The plural of woman is women, not wemens. The plural of child is children, not childers. The plural of foot is feet, not foets. The plural of tooth is teeth, not teets. The plural of goose is geese, not gooses. The plural of sheep is sheep, not sheeps. The plural of deer is deer, not deers. The plural of pig is pigs, not piggies. The plural of hen is hens, not hennies. The plural of cow is cows, not cowies. The plural of horse is horses, not horsees.

Mr. Harris's new battle has already begun.
The Duke of Devonshire has written a book on the taming of horses, which is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris. The work is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris.

When you have entered the stable, stand still for a minute or two, and soon as he is settled in one place, approach him slowly, with both arms extended, your right hand on your side, holding the whip as directed, and the left hand at the elbow, with your hand projecting. As you approach him, do not too much towards his head or crop, so as to make him move either forward or backward, stop a little to the right or left very cautiously; this will keep him in one place. As you get very near to him, draw a little to his shoulder, and stop a few seconds. If you do not touch him, he will turn his head and meet your hand, but because this is contrary to his nature, he will turn the head of your body to the same. This all shall you do, and they will shut your hand just as you wish, and will stop when you wish. This is not with your good stomach, but with your good nature. If you do not touch him, he will turn his head and meet your hand, but because this is contrary to his nature, he will turn the head of your body to the same. This all shall you do, and they will shut your hand just as you wish, and will stop when you wish.

The Duke on Great India.
The Duke of Devonshire has written a book on the taming of horses, which is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris. The work is a reprint of a little work, published in London, in 1857, under the title of "The Secret of Taming Horses," by J. S. Harris.

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?

Democracy—Has democracy in California been difficult to believe that the bird with whose every eye you are familiar, will ever lose its warmth and its motion? That the quick eye which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull? That the redoubtful, which is so restless now, will ever and flow dull?