

MORE INDIAN TROUBLES IN MENDOCINO.
—The Gazette is informed that five hundred Indians have been discharged from Mendocino Reservation, and have with them some twenty rifles. This, we are informed, has been done at the recommendation of Lieut. Dillon, Mr. Dreibelbis, the Superintendent, taking his advice, instead of Col. Tobin, and others. The consequence is, that cattle are being killed with impunity. George H. Woodman found nine head of cattle dead, last week, killed by Indians, and many horses are missing. The people think it a gross outrage, after being at the great expense of collecting them from all parts of the State, to let them go where they please, with arms.

VOLUME VIII.

NEVADA, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1860.

NUMBER 377.

More Indian Tribes in

The Nevada Democrat

Nevada Democrat.
 PUBLISHED TRI-WEEKLY,
 On Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays.
 BY I. J. KOLFE & CO.
 T. H. KOLFE.

LETTERS—COHNER BEHOLD AND FINE STRINGS.
 THE PUBLISHERS HEREBY WILL BE GLAD TO RECEIVE
 subscriptions at 25 cents per copy, in advance, for the
 current, single copies, in advance, for the
 year, \$3.00 per annum, in advance, for six months, \$1.50,
 three months, \$1.
 Rates of Advertising—For one square of ten lines,
 six insertions, \$2; each subsequent insertion, \$1.
 The above is made on an average make a square.
 Job Printing, of all kinds, neatly executed.

City Business Cards.
 W. I. GARDWELL,
 Attorney and Counselor at Law.
 Notary Public and Commissioner for
 the Atlantic States.
 Office—On Broad street, over Harrington's, School,
 Nevada, California.
 J. H. ROSS WILL,
 HILL & HUPP,
 Attorneys and Counselors at Law.
 Office—Over C. W. Walker's Book Store, in Wil-
 liam's Block, Building, Commercial St., Nevada.
 J. H. ROSS WILL,
 MCCONNELL & GARDNER,
 Attorneys and Counselors at Law.
 Will practice in all the Courts of the 14th Judicial
 District, and in the Supreme Court.
 Office—With & Knox's Brick Building, Broad St.,
 Nevada.
 THOMAS P. HAWLEY,
 Attorney and Counselor at Law,
 AND NOTARY PUBLIC.
 Office—Corner of Broad and Pine streets, Nevada.
 DAVID BELDEY,
 Attorney and Counselor at Law.
 Particular attention given to procuring United
 States Land Warrants for persons entitled to the
 same by Military Service.
 Office—At the Court House, Nevada.
 DR. R. M. HUNT,
 Physician and Surgeon.
 Office—At Wickes & Co.'s Drug Store, Broad St.,
 Nevada.
 F. R. TAYLOR

Hotels and Restaurants.
FASHION RESTAURANT.
 E. B. TAYLOR, Proprietor.
 COMMERCIAL STREET, NEVADA.
 Having purchased the above Restau-
 rant, I would inform the people of this State
 and the country at large, that I design keeping it as a
First Class Restaurant.
 The Table will be supplied with everything in
 the market, and none but good cooks will be em-
 ployed. Meals furnished at all hours—and on short
 notice.
UNITED STATES HOTEL.
 BROAD ST., BELOW PINE, NEVADA.
 GRUSH & PARKER, Proprietors.
 THE UNDERSIGNED HAVE BE FITTED AND
 completely renovated the building, and will continue to
 carry on the Hotel Business, and will continue to
 be as good as any other
HOTEL IN THE MOUNTAINS,
 The Rooms are well ventilated, and are fur-
 nished with the best of beds and bedding.
 Men's Fifty Cents.
 Ladies 30 and 75 cents.
 The Table will be promptly supplied with all
 the varieties found in the Market.
 GRUSH & PARKER, Proprietors.

NATIONAL EXCHANGE HOTEL.
 No. 23 & 34, BEAUBAULT ST., NEVADA.
 GEO. R. LAYCASTER, Proprietor.
 THE UNDERSIGNED WOULD RESPECTFULLY
 announce to the citizens of Nevada and vicinity,
 and the traveling public, that he will have charge of
 the well known and popular Hotel, known as the Na-
 tional Exchange, on Beaubault St., Nevada.
 The Building is of brick, three stories high, and
THOROUGHLY FIRE-PROOF.
 Having 100-1200 feet, The several apartments have
 recently been fitted up in a style that cannot be sur-
 passed.
 The Beds and Furniture are New,
 And for comfort cannot be excelled.
 The Table will at all times be supplied with all
 the Varieties the Market affords.
Game Suppers, Got up to Order.
 LINES AND FAMILIES
 The Tables, ranging in all directions from No.
 100 to 1000, have their tables set, and take their directions
 from the National Exchange.
OPEN ALL NIGHT.
 The Bar and Billiard Saloon under the above
 sign experienced many who in the night, where
 games and drinks can be had.
 Having had long experience at the business, I am
 confident of being able to make the National, the
 best in the Mountains, and a comfortable home for

Bankers and Assayers.
GEO. W. KIDD,
 BANKER.
 GRANITE BUILDING, BEAUBAULT ST., NEVADA.
GOLD DUST Purchased at the highest Market
 Rates, and liberal advances made on dust for
 Assay or for Coinage at the U. S. Mint.
 Sight Checks on San Francisco and Sacramento,
 to, ATLAS, BEAUFORT on the Eastern Cities at the
 lowest Rate.
 Collections made, and State and County Se-
 curities purchased at the highest Market Rate.
 J. C. BIRDSEYE & CO.,
 BANKERS.
 NUMBER 20 MAIN STREET, NEVADA.
 Purchase Gold Dust and Flotation, at the
 highest Market Rates. Advances made on Gold
 Dust for Assay or Coinage at the U. S. Mint.
 Sight Checks on San Francisco, Sacramento,
 and other places.
 Yearly Exchange on EUROPEAN BANK.
 Particulars of State and County Securities at the highest
 Rates.
 Funds received, Collections made, and a general
 Banking Business transacted.
 GEO. W. KILPATRICK,
 A. H. HIGGINS,
C. W. MULFORD & CO.,
 BANKERS.
 AT THE OLD STAND MAIN STREET, NEVADA.
GOLD RATES BOUGHT AT THE HIGHEST MARKET
AT PLACE.
 All remittances to the United States Branch Mint
 at San Francisco, and advances made on the same
 at the highest rate.
 Highest Price paid for County Scrip.
NEVADA ASSAY OFFICE,
 BY JAMES T. OTT,
 NUMBER 20, MAIN STREET, NEVADA.
GOLD AND ORES of every description, Molten
 Refined and Assayed at San Francisco, Boston, and
 Mr. A. S. of San Francisco, within a few hours.
 All Assays are guaranteed.
 Made according to the latest Market Price.
 Highest Gold and Silver, and bought at the
 highest Price.
PIONEER ASSAY OFFICE.
 H. HARRIS & CO.,
 (Successors to Harris & Marchand.)
 Meeting, Refining and Assaying
 GOLD AND ORES,
 F STREET, NEAR CORNER OF SECOND STREET,
 MARYSVILLE,
 Also—73 J Street, Sacramento.
 103 Sacramento Street, San Francisco.
 Will continue to carry on the business of
 Refining, Assaying and Assaying
 GOLD AND ORES,
 OF EVERY DESCRIPTION.
 We guarantee the correctness of our Assays, and

NEVADA Democrat.
PROTRACTED INTRODUCTION.—A late number
 of the Cultivator has been following on this
 subject: "During a recent extended tour
 through many districts in the mountains,
 we everywhere saw that great stress was
 laid upon the best means to procure copious
 irrigation of fruit trees, above than thro-
 ughs of all the trees planted in the trading
 sections, have been set on the ground which
 has had no subsequent cultivation, the relief
 since upon water being made to preserve
 the tree during the heat of a protracted
 summer. In all such orchards, grass, weeds
 or stubble is seen growing over the entire
 space between the trees, which has the effect
 to harden the ground so that it is impossible
 for the roots to extend themselves. The
 plan of irrigation in these places is to let a
 stream of water flow in a single trench
 alongside of the trees close to the stems;
 this causes a bunch of thick, hairy roots to
 shoot out just under the surface of the
 ground, which particularly dwarf, if they
 do not kill the tree, as the ground soon
 dries up harder than ever, when these
 fibrous sponge-like roots perish. Irrigation
 to be beneficial, should be copious, and the
 water kept at a distance from the tree, so
 that the roots may go a longer distance in
 search of food and moisture. Great injury
 is also done by late irrigation, as if the
 water were kept on after the first of Sep-
 tember, the trees are apt to grow so late
 that the wood is not matured when the
 frosts of winter strike them. Then again,
 bearing trees, which have developed the
 fruit-buds for bearing the next season, are
 apt to be expanded into branches, or swell-
 ed so that they form a rosace of leaves,
 thus destroying the fruit crop. Our mount-
 ain amateurs would be far better satisfied
 with their fruit culture if they would adopt
 the plan of keeping their soil in a mellow,
 pulverized condition, than by such exces-
 sive irrigation.

POLITICS IN MARIPOSA.—Mariposa county
 has generally voted a Democratic ticket.
 It will go so this year. It will go for the
 regular old Democratic party, whose nomi-
 nee, Douglas and Johnson, stand upon the
 principle of non-intervention. For a
 few weeks after the news of the Baltimore
 Convention was received there was some
 little enthusiasm created in favor of the
 "appeal."

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-

THE EDITOR OF THE COMPAGNER.
 The able editor of the Nevada Campaigner,
 in the last issue of his paper, says that
 we change him with political "humblings"
 and "squammersetting."
 We have proof to sustain the assertion.
 Let the Judge be judge of his own record.
 We give his words:
 "In fulfillment of the pledge contained in the
 qualifying clause, we now raise the flag of
 recently unfurled by the Democratic National
 Convention at Baltimore, bearing the name
 of Stephen A. Douglas for President, and He-
 schel V. Johnson for Vice President."
 Are you now standing by that flag so un-
 der the Democratic National Conven-
 tion? Have you not changed your posi-
 tion? Are you not now fighting under a
 other and a different flag, and one that was
 not notified by the Democratic National
 Convention?
 Again, after saying that had you been in
 the Convention you would have preferred
 Breckinridge to Douglas, you then said:
 "As a Democrat, we bow to the will of the
 majority. Have you 'bolted', they
 will, and are you not now bowing to the
 will of (what you then called) the Secretary?
 Again, you said—
 "In taking this course we are actuated sol-
 ly by our conscience of FAITHFULNESS and
 DUTY."
 Judge, that is strong language. If had
 us if we insinuate that convictions of prin-
 ciple and duty are apt to linger around the
 head and heart, even when the month
 speaks and the hand writes against them!
 Again, you said—
 "As new circumstances and new exigencies
 arise, that Convention declares the sense of
 the party in relation thereto; in other words it
 announces, at its quadrennial gatherings, the
 DEMOCRATIC PLATFORM. The latter then
 becomes the rule of action for the party. From
 that declaration there is no appeal. To those
 who remain within the pale of the party there
 is no alternative but submission to the boldest
 of the highest tribunal. These constitute the
 Democratic Creed; and he who does not assent
 to it is no Democrat."
 Judge, have you not taken yourself
 "without the pale of the party?" In your
 own language—"from that declaration, (i.
 e.) the Democratic Platform, there is no
 appeal?"—there is no alternative but sub-