

California State  
At J. S. Bentley  
San Francisco Cal.  
March 19, '59

---

One correspondence  
in the arrest of Henry  
Dyer and the citizen  
of Round Valley for an  
assault upon an Indian,  
by Gen. Gillow in com-  
mand of the British at that  
place

---

Recd April 18. '59

W. H. [Signature]

San Francisco

Office Supt Ind aff

Mch 19<sup>th</sup> 59

J. W. Denver Esq  
Commissioner Ind aff

Sir

I enclose with enclosed  
Copies of Correspondence &c in reference to the  
arrest of a citizen of Round Valley, for an  
assault upon an Indian by the officer in  
command of the Post at that place.

T. B. and G. W. Shirley whose names  
are mentioned in the proceedings are my sons.  
They are citizens of that valley and took  
part with the settlers for the purpose of  
preventing of possible a collision between them  
and the military which it is possible, <sup>would</sup> hardly  
be avoided if the prisoner had not fortunately  
escaped

Very Respectfully Yours

Theo J Shirley

supt Ind aff

*Copy*

Round Valley Feb 10<sup>th</sup> 59

Mrs M. Corbit <sup>and</sup> {  
J B Hinley } Gent

In reply to that portion of your resolution which refers to the grounds of my action in the case of Ampanini; I have only to state that my orders from my superior officer compell me to arrest and keep in confinement until ordered to the contrary. Any person who is reported to me as having beaten or maltreated any Indian, be the reporter the Indian agent or any white man or an Indian, in regard to recovering back for the man's appearance or permitting a trial by any person now in the valley, I express myself utterly unable to comply with your demand for the reason above stated viz that my orders are imperative that I shall keep him in confinement until ordered to the contrary. It must moreover be known to you that there is no one in the valley legally competent to try the case.

Yours respectfully

Signed

Ed Dillon  
2<sup>nd</sup> Lt 6<sup>th</sup> Infantry

Copy

Nome Cult Valley Feb 12<sup>th</sup> 1859

Col J. P. Shirley }  
San Francisco }  
Sw

A few days ago a difficulty occurred between Lieut Dillon Comdg three troops stationed in this valley, and the citizens thereof, the particulars of which are as follows, On the 6<sup>th</sup> inst Bryant a citizen of this valley was at the reservation and while there an Indian offendid him, and he said to the Indian (to use his own language) "You leave here" meaning to leave his presence, The Indian had a knife in his hand, and said to him, "I will cut you with this knife and advance two or three steps towards him, Bryant then picked up a stick and struck at him several times and hit him one blow, The next day the Indian went to Lieut Dillon and reported that Bryant had abuse him, The Lieut then examined two witnesses in the case viz Jope Shirley and Mr Blake, Jope Shirley stated that he was stooping down skinning a Fox and heard Bryant say "you will eat me with that knife" well you<sup>s</sup> he looked up and saw him strike

strike at the Indian several times and hit him one or more blows. Blake was standing off some distance and saw what occurred but heard nothing. Mr. Wilsey was also present and saw all that passed and heard the Indian tell Bryantine that he would use the knife on him. Mr. Ward was present and says that Bryantine was acting in self defense. The Sheriff for some reason or other considering it his duty to arrest Bryantine proceeded to do so on the eight. Although Mr. Storms had requested him not to do so. It was the unanimous opinion of the settlers that the Sheriff was not justified in making the arrest and they determined to have him released if possible. On the following day some ten or fifteen citizens went to see the Sheriff in relation to the matter. They offered bail for the prisoner, and also desired to know that he was justified in what he did. They also desired him to inform them upon what authority he made the arrest, whether by marshall or civil law. He replied that he was acting under orders from Maj. Johnson, and he did not know ~~what~~ law they were based upon. that he could neither receive bail nor give a trial. He said his orders were to hold any prisoner he might arrest until further orders from Maj. Johnson. He did know what would be done with the prisoner.

but he thought he (the prisoner) would be taken out of the Valley for trial. This explanation did not satisfy the settlers, and after some further talk they notified the Seminary that if the prisoner was not released by ten o'clock the next day they would take him out. To which he replied that he would resist such an effort to the last. The settlers then retired and appointed the next day (10<sup>th</sup>) to hold a meeting and decide what steps should be taken in the matter. The settlers looked upon the action of the Seminary in this case as an outrage, and did not believe that he was governed by any law either martial or civil. They also knew that some two or three months must elapse before the prisoner could be taken out of the valley and tried, by that time it would be too late for him to put in a crop, and that together with the expense of trial would about break a man of his means. For these reasons a large majority of the settlers were in favor of releasing the prisoner, even if they had to resort to force to that object. They all met here the next day according to appointment, nearly all of them being fully armed and ready for any emergency. The meeting was organized by the election of a president and a secretary. Through a desire to have the difficulty settled peaceably and quietly, if possible, I took part in the proceedings after

After some discussion resolutions of a very moderate ton  
were proposed and adopted, a copy of which I enclose  
herewith. A Committee of three was appointed (of which  
I was one) to present the resolutions to the Sheriff and  
ask his reply thereto. In the course of our interview  
with the Sheriff, He stated substantially what I have  
mentioned before, as his authority for his proceedings  
and being requested to do so. He put his answer to the  
resolutions in writing, a copy of which I send. In  
Conversation with the Sheriff after the Committee had  
held their interview; He desired me to state to the settlers  
that he very much regretted the circumstance that had  
occurred and was desirous of having the matter  
determined in a legal manner, and he hoped they  
would allow it to be settled in that way. That he wished  
of possible to avoid a collision between his force and  
the Citizens as it might result disastrously to both parties.  
He also stated that he was obeying orders and that it  
impossible for him to pursue any other course in this case.  
I reported what the Sheriff had stated to me, but it was  
not satisfactory to the settlers. After hearing the  
report of the Committee. They determined to send out

out an exp[re]s[er] for the purpose of having a writ of "habeas Corpus" issued for the release of the prisoner. The rivers were up so as to make of very dangerous crossing if not altogether impossible, but three persons volunteered to go through and to start the next morning. Fortunately however they were saved the trouble for news came that night that the prisoner had escaped. which has put an end to the matter at present. the Duke having concluded not to man[age] him with his present force (18 men) He will send for a reinforcement soon as possible. It is Mr. Byzantine's intention to remain here and keep out of the way of the "soldier folk" until the waters fall so that he can go out conveniently and then he will give himself up and have a trial. Of course he will be acquitted for there is no evidence against him that could possibly lead to a conviction.

Yours &c  
G. W. Shirley

Copy

323

At a meeting of the citizens of Stone Creek Valley held  
February 10<sup>th</sup> 1859 the following resolutions were  
passed,

1<sup>st</sup> That it is our unanimous opinion that the  
course pursued by Genl Dillon in regard to  
Indian affs generally, and in the case  
of Henry Owsantie particularly, is entirely  
unwarranted by the circumstances of the case.  
That said Dillon has been requested to show  
his authority for the course pursued by him  
and to give his grounds of action, whereupon  
he has said that his orders were verbal and  
has given no grounds for his actions.

2<sup>nd</sup> With this view of the case we as citizens of  
this valley, desire and demand of our right to  
demand an explanation in regard to the  
matter under consideration, As it is our desire  
to understand clearly the relation which exists  
between ourselves and the military force stationing  
here, as the relation which now seems to exist  
between us in relation to Indian affs appears  
to us entirely inconsistent with our interests as  
citizens

Settlers here,

3<sup>rd</sup>

That we have settled here for the purpose of making this our home, we have had many difficulties to contend against, and have suffered severely from the depredations of the Indians. A short time ago a detachment of U States troops were sent and stationed here among us for the purpose as we suppose of protecting us and our property, but it now appears from course pursued by the officers in command of these troops that this so far from being the case, the presence of the troops here will have and has already had a decided tendency to make the Indians still more troublesome than they were before.

4<sup>th</sup> It is our desire that Genl Dillon should state to us what grounds he has for the course he is pursuing, as it appears to us entirely inconsistent with the civil law of our state.

5<sup>th</sup> That it is our constant desire to abide by the laws of our country, and ask nothing which is not in strict accordance with Justice, that if we have pursued a course in regard to said affair which would appear unwarranted to persons

unacquainted with the facts of the case, it has been because we have been forced to do by circumstances by which we have been surrounding.

6<sup>th</sup> Finally, in regard to the case of Mr. Bryant, as the affilia imputed to him is clearly a bailable one we ask that bail be set in this case. Or if Supt. Dillon has reasonable objections to this, then we demand a fair trial of the case either before Mr. Storrs or any other competent that may be proposed.

On motion a committee of three viz Martin Corbit & W. Hinley and C. H. Brown was appointed to present these resolutions to Supt. Dillon and request an immediate answer thereto,

Sig<sup>m</sup>

Martin Corbit President  
Thos R. Hinley Secretary